

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/659,261 | 09/11/2000 | Nimrod Megiddo | ARC9-2000-0081-US1 5596 | |
| . 75 | 07/29/2003 | | | |
| Marc McSwain Esq | | | EXAMINER | |
| Counsel IP Law Dept IBM Corporation Almaden Research Center | | | PARDO, THUY N | |
| Mail Drop C4TA J2B San Jose, CA 95120-6099 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2175 | ر - ا |
| | | • | DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003 | / |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 71 | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | | 09/659,261 | MEGIDDO ET AL. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Thuy Pardo | 2175 | | | |
| The Period for Rep | MAILING DATE of this communication apply | pears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence address | | | |
| THE MAILIN - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If the period for If NO period for Failure to reply - Any reply rece | NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period y within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute lived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting year. It is statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1)⊠ Resp | consive to communication(s) filed on 12 | <u>May 2003</u> . | | | | |
| 2a)☐ This | action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th | nis action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3)☐ Since | e this application is in condition for allowed in appearance with the prostice and a | ance except for formal matters, pr | rosecution as to the merits is | | | |
| Disposition of | ed in accordance with the practice under Claims | <i>Ex рапе Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | 153 O.G. 213. | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim | (s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application | ٦. | | | | |
| 4a) Of | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)∐ Claim | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim | Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7)∐ Claim | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim Application Pa | (s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | |
| | ecification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | |
| | awing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce | | miner. | | | |
| Appli | cant may not request that any objection to th | e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S | ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) <u>□</u> The oa | th or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. | | | | |
| Priority under | 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| · | b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| | Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | • | | | |
| 14) Acknow | ledgment is made of a claim for domesti | c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(| e) (to a provisional application). | | | |
| | ne translation of the foreign language pro vledgment is made of a claim for domest | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 2) D Notice of Draf | erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) isclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _ | 5) Notice of Informal F | r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| S. Patent and Trademark C | Office | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2175

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's Amendment filed on May 12, 2003 in response to Examiner's Office Action has been reviewed. Claim 9 has been amended.
- 2. Claims 1-26 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over **Stern et al.** (Hereinafter "Stern") US Patent Application No. 2002/0052928, in view of **Liddy et al.** (Hereinafter "Liddy") US Patent No. 6,304,864.

As to claim 1, Stern teaches a method of providing links to remotely located information in a network of remotely connected computers [see the abstract and fig. 1], said method comprising the steps of

Art Unit: 2175

a) associating a shorthand link to each of a plurality of uniform resource locators (URLs)

[internal link as function of keywords and an unique identifier for the Web site, 0055, 0057-0067

on page 3];

b) logging associated shorthand links in a registry database [a links-to-visit table, see

the abstract; a list of internal links and selecting from remaining internal links as function of

keywords, 0055 of page 3];

d) for each found said shorthand link, fetching said associated URL [the target URL

associated with a link, 0029 of page 2].

However, Stern does not explicitly teach searching said registry database for a shorthand link

associated with an URL responsive to selection of said shorthand link. Liddy teaches searching said

registry database for a shorthand link associated with an URL responsive to selection of said

shorthand link [see web pages' results corresponding to a search query, 65, 75 of fig. 3A; 79, 79A

of fig. 3B; col. 11, lines 51-57].

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at

the time of the invention to have modified Stern's system wherein the internal links of a Web site

are collected and recorded in a links-to-visit table provided thereof would have incorporated the

teachings of Liddy especially the methodology of searching a database for a shorthand link (or web

pages) associated with an URL; the motivation being to expand and enhance the versatility of

Stern's system by allowing a user to search one or more of the addresses of the document retrieved

from the search engines [see Liddy, col. 4, lines 55-59].

Page 3

Art Unit: 2175

As to claim 2, Stern and Liddy teach the invention substantially as claimed. Stern further

Page 4

teaches

I) requesting registration of a URL [table "links to visit", 16 of fig. 3]:

ii) selecting an unused key [inherent in the system]: and

iii) pairing said selected key with said URL as a shorthand link [the target URL

associated with a link, 0029 of page 2]..

As to claim 3, Stern and Liddy teach the invention substantially as claimed. Stern further

teaches that each key-URL, pair is entered in the registry database [16 of fig. 3].

As to claim 4, Stern and Liddy teach the invention substantially as claimed. Stern further

teaches that said fetched associated URL is presented to a requestor, said requestor having selected

said shorthand link [0029 of pages 1 and 2].

As to claim 5, Stern and Liddy teach the invention substantially as claimed. Stern further

teaches said fetched associated URL is presented to a requestor, said requestor having provided the

paired key of the key URL pair [0029 of pages 1, 2; 16 of fig. 3].

As to claim 6, Stern and Liddy teach the invention substantially as claimed. Stern further

teaches that an error message is returned whenever a requestor provides a key not paired with a URL

[0099-0106 of page 5].

.,

As to claim 7, Stern and Liddy teach the invention substantially as claimed. Stern further teaches that when a provided key not associated with a URL is identified as corresponding to a key in a key-URL pair, presenting the identified URL to said requester [unique identifiers or web site signatures, see the abstract].

As to claims 8-13, all limitations of these claims have been rejected in the analysis of claims 1-7 above, and these claims have been rejected on that basis.

As to claims 14-26, Stern and Liddy teach the invention substantially as claimed as specified in claims 1-13 above, with the exception of computer readable program code means. However, since the method is processed in the computer system, the feature of having a computer readable program code means is inherently in the system in order to perform such functions and convert information from one form to another.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuy Pardo, whose telephone number is (703) 305-1091. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici, can be reached at (703) 305-3830.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

Art Unit: 2175

(703) 746-7238 (After Final Communication)

(703) 746-7239 (Official Communication)

(703) 746-7240 (For Status inquiries, draft communication)

and/or:

(703) 746-5616 (Use this Fax#, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "Draft" communication. Examiner may request that a formal/amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions).

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 308-5359, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/659,261 Art Unit: 2175

Page 7

Thuy Pardo July 24, 2003